

GOOD MORNING TEASPOON

Vol. 2, No. 1, February 9, 1967

This paper intends in no way to represent the views of San Diego State College

A.F.T. AND ITS STUDENT ORGANIZERS GOOF

Pursuant to the article in the last TEASPOON, students on campus are organizing to protest the budget cuts and tuition proposals. A statewide effort is being organized for a march on Sacramento. Although several organizations are represented in the newly formed Committee for Responsible Education, the bulk of the work is being done by a "student organizer" for the A.F.T. The reason for this influence by A.F.T. is that they have the "facilities and organization" for a statewide effort.

It is understandable that the A.F.T. originated and is responsible for the march. Students took no initiation on their own, but waited for some type of authority figures for support. The vital interest of the A.F.T. is also involved. In the past, the A.F.T. at SDS has focused on the need for "collective bargaining" through which higher wages and better benefits could be obtained. The actions of Reagan and any hope of achieving these goals in the near future and also make any pay increases questionable.

Although A.F.T. maintains this is not an A.F.T. promotion drive, anyone listening to Melvin Craine, local organizer of A.F.T., at the January 24 rally in the Free Speech Area heard otherwise. The main focus of Craine's talk was "collective bargaining". Nothing was really said about the purpose of education or the needs of students. Craine stressed that colleges must work as pressure groups if they are to rescind the proposed budget cut and tuition proposals.

The important question to come out of all of this is whether in fact students and faculty interest do coincide on this issue and if they do to what extent. Are students going to be forced to later form a pressure group against the faculty over the issues of the purposes of education, the tenuring of teachers, and faculty cooperation with students. Is the A.F.T. using this drive to increase their "prestige" and "position" on campus or is this only a by-product of the action. The mere fact that these questions are raised may be decried or denounced as breaking up the unity necessary for the drive against Reagan.

Nevertheless, the questions are essential and must be answered. Whether this issue is being "used" by the A.F.T. or not, some of the essential questions have been ignored or overlooked.

Both the educational and the political aspects of the fight against the proposals

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

REAGANITES SUPPORT POT!

A position paper was issued last week by the Young Americans for Reagan located in Los Angeles. Entitled "Youth and Drugs", the paper states:

"Marijuana (grass) is not a narcotic. It is not habit-forming. It is not addictive. It is not linked to the two worst diseases known to mankind (lung cancer and heart disease) as is tobacco; nor is it linked to any other disease. By any objective standard, it is far less debilitating--if at all--than either tobacco or alcohol. It is maintained by many that the only reason grass is illegal is that is (a) cannot be taxed since anyone can grow it in his backyard, and (b) would destroy the liquor industry since larcenous liquor taxes would prevent competitive prices. . . The reason offered by the police, however, is that grass leads to stronger drugs; e.g., heroin. That this argument is specious should be obvious from the fact that everyone who has a glass of beer or a cocktail in the evening does not end up an alcoholic. . . By what right do the legislators of morality have to coerce young people at the point of a gun?"

SUPPORT YOUR LOCAL POLICE?

Nearly everyone grants the fact that the policeman's lot is not always a happy one. He is frequently exposed to violence that may endanger his life, while other phases of his daily activity may best be described as bordering on boredom. He must be dedicated; he may be disillusioned by contrasting the realities of everyday life to his previous expectations; and he is less well - paid than are others with comparable training and responsibility.

Fewer academic requirements must be met prior to engaging in his chosen field of endeavor; personality prerequisites such as getting along with others, accepting various cultures and belief systems, and respecting the rights of deviant minorities are not necessarily fostered. Further, the unfortunate tendency to seek simple answers through the political philosophies of the radical right is found much more frequently among members of the police force than is the case in almost any other professional group.

Policemen are but common, ordinary people like you and I, and deserving of no more special support than your neighborhood mailman, mortician, movie star, or ditchdigger. Personal problems affect their conduct on duty. Blind acceptance of the administrative practices and policies of any bureau-

(Continued on Page 2, Column 2)

TEASPOON SEEKS ADDED STAFF--
WANTED: A MORAL CRUSADER

TEASPOON usually doesn't actively seek to stay in front of any movements or trends which get started from time to time. But with the growing popularity of crusades against smut and filth, we would like to keep up with the trend. Recently there has been a revival of interest in the old puritan ethics of censorship. Any who don't conform to the middle class ethic are arrested or their publications are suspended. In both Berkeley and Los Angeles there is a rapidly growing trend of shutting down go-go shows, movie theatres, and art shows. The INTRO, the student literary supplement to the Daily Bruin at UCLA, was recently suspended indefinitely for printing a drawing from ECCE HOMME, a recent novel which showed an uncovered vagina and breast.

In seeking to break into the growing trend, we especially desire to attack and censor those who try to cover up their soiled, grimey slang and profanity in the name of "free speech" and "art". We are desperately looking for a first class smut smelling smirch raker who has the ability to root out all kinds and varieties of nauseous, dungy, fungus-like sewage which is dredged up by the immoral and foul-minded to pervert our majestic and virtuous society. We will not allow such things as the current advertisements for the notorious and desecrating film "A Man and A Woman" which appears in the current issue of the campus newspaper to go uncensored.

Anyone of upstanding and high moral quality who desires to hunt out the scabby and contaminated blotches in our mass media, local art shows, films, or conservations, please contact the editorship of the TEASPOON at 5844 Hardy Street, the yellow apartments across from campus.

A.F.T. GOOFS (Cont. from Page 1, Col. 1)
are being ignored in the rush to serve as an interest group. What the goals of education in a democratic society are, how they relate to the community and the state, and how they would be affected by the budget cut and tuition have been ignored. Instead, the protestors (who last week spoke out against protestors), contend they are not political or anti-Reagan. They ignore the obvious facts that the Regents are politically appointed, political leaders serve on the Regents, the budget is politically fought for, Reagan's actions are political, and one of the central uses of today's colleges is political socialization.

Students will be involved in political action, will have to consider who their allies will be, what their goals are, and what the best tactics are to be used. Creating a better educational system is a political goal which in no way distracts from any goals or ideals of education. The central question remains: what are the goals of education and how can they best be implemented?

--Donald Monkerud

There is a very good article for those interested in the New Left in San Diego in the February issue of the SAN DIEGO MAGAZINE.

SUPPORT POLICE? (Cont. Page 1, Col 2)

cratic organization only forecloses effective criticism, precludes reform of existing institutions, and delays redress against arbitrary decisions.

If anything, members of the police force should be subject to greater criticism than persons of any other occupational grouping. Society has made of them more than mere law enforcers and crime preventers: the policeman perceives of himself as a means of averting disorder before it is initiated; as a deterrent to potential law violaters; and as a keeper of detailed records concerning the activities of evil-doers, potential evil-doers, and even non-evil-doers. Law enforcers jealously guard their image as autonomous individuals, free from the "interference" of outside influence.

Many of the functions performed by the policeman are simply not among the duties of an impartial public servant, while others are subject to a great deal of individual interpretation. Philosophically, morality may be judged by intent rather than action: constitutionality, however, prohibits thought control of any sort--legal sanctions may be applied only after overt acts have been committed. Further, the individuals who are most likely to be "questioned" or arrested are often members of groups with which the policeman is little acquainted, or toward which the officer feels little affinity or regard. Thus Negroes and other minority groups, and left-leaning radicals (and even liberals) may complain of police brutality, although primarily concerned with harassment. Teenagers and the poverty-stricken are also discriminated against, although less well-organized and thus less vocal than these other groups.

Seen by the police as a means of furthering the "war against crime", many police practices infringe upon the constitutional guarantees accorded all citizens--unlawful search and seizure, equal protection under the law, illegal arrest, and privacy.

Those concerned with improprieties of the police are led to a suggestion which seems to have little popularity these days: that of a police review board. Although we accept (and the Constitution specifically provides for) civilian control of the military, for some reason many do not wish to see the concept extended to the paramilitary, our police forces. Most responsible organizations have supported such a body, not as a panacea, but as a check on excessive police authority. Conversely, most "pseudo-conservative" groups have lobbied against such proposals, possibly due in part to the large proportion of police force membership in their ranks.

Finally, we should not forget that the slogan--SUPPORT YOUR LOCAL POLICE--was initiated by the John Birch Society because of their feeling that our law enforcers would be the last hope of survival after the communist (socialist?) (liberal?) takeover of the other institutions of government, including the military. Before accepting the slogan and sentiment uncritically, consider the source and its bias. Maybe you too will feel that our police forces deserve more criticism than support.

--Rick Larsch

THE STEAK AND THE BONE
or
WHO GETS WHAT?

What is at stake in Governor Reagan's "economizing" program and the attending conflict? At this point it is really difficult to say.

One thing does seem certain though, the issue is not economic. The incomes of individuals and corporations in this State have grown at a rapid rate. A \$4.7 billion budget amounts to about \$270 per capita, out of per capita personal income of something over \$3,000. This per capita figure does not include corporate incomes. For most Californians there has been a very dramatic increase in disposable personal income in the last few years.

If the problem is not economic, then what is it? At stake is the entire structure of power in this country. Reagan, as was Goldwater and a host of others before him, is a perverter of the "Great American Myth". "Great American Myth" is the concept of private enterprise, free market, limited government, rugged individualism, and individual responsibility that were developed during most of our history. The economic elite, for whom Reagan is the current spokesman, hid behind the rugged individualism aspect of the myth in justifying their economic system to the rest of us.

It is the power structure that supports the self-interested-I've-got-mine way of life that is the reason for Reagan's politics. However, during the past thirty years more and more people have been exposed to higher education, and an increasing number of these have begun to question the applications of the myths. A society that defines happiness in certain materialistic ways, and which attempts to maintain that individuals are equal and have the right to pursue that happiness, must then accept the obligation to bring justice to the pursuit. This means that in spite of what Reagan has said, the society does owe each person a free, or at the very least an equal opportunity for an education as a prerequisite to that pursuit. In our interdependent, consumer oriented society, the prevention and alleviation of poverty is a societal obligation.

Furthermore, the same increased level of education which brought into question the application of those myths controlling the distribution of economic benefits, has also brought into question the applications of our myths concerning representative democracy. The benevolent paternalism of the past must give way to increased participation in both the execution and making of policy. Government must bring both the citizen and the government agent into the formulation of the policy at the very highest level of responsible dialog. Our government does not know how to bring this change about, but that is the way it had to be, and a trip to Oregon is not going to change it!

Our Interesting Research Department reports that a Teaching Assistant conducted an evaluation of his classes and discovered that the top grades were all made by guys with beards!

If there are any new freshmen, we welcome you. It is our pleasure to pass on to you General Hershey's famous formula for success: $15(\text{units}) \times 8(\text{semesters}) (2s) - BA (S)$.

We assume, of course, that you have money, for work and loans are dear. Take a semester off to work and the formula fails, or rather, you fail the formula. At any rate the consequences are the same.

Assuming you can manage the money problem, the next step is to choose a major--quickly! Then you must join the band, student government, an athletic team, anahuac, the DAR, American Legion, or anything else that will get you early registration.

Why? Because the state of California under ominously enlightened leadership has finally figured out a way to get rid of teachers.

It's really very simple. You merely increase the teaching load, refuse to grant sabbaticals, avoid filling vacancies while simultaneously de-emphasizing pay raises.

The result is limited classes and that is why there seems to be a rather high correlation between draft notices and low priority numbers. But don't sweat it, you know the service will help you "grow up and be a man".

So there is no need to get up-tight about the "brain drain" and the "job freeze" or the fact that higher tuition, fewer instructors and fewer classes may hamper the quality of your education. What the hell, your pressurized diploma is only as good as the football team.

Finally, (like the ephemeral optimists that we are) things could be worse. You didn't have to sign any loyalty oaths or take the Mustang pledge, or promise to wash your mind out with "Reagan-Water" did you? So smile, you're on candy campus.

Again, welcome freshmen, to our factory. We hope you have a nice stay, if you do. Remember to follow your Hershey formula, keep your face shaven, hair short, and mouth shut. For our creed is "if you don't like it, get out!"

There have been rumors flying among the California underground for some time about the possible secession of Berkeley. It could be that the energy that might be directed against Reagan has been channelled in this direction.

The rumor is that Berkeley, all of it, not just the campus, will secede and establish a separate state called La Mancha.

The first order of business for the new state will be to revamp its police department. All police would be required to wear yellow uniforms and drive yellow squad cars--the cars to be proudly emblazoned with the words "The Fuzz" on the door. Loud speakers on the tops of the cars would broadcast the warning: "Cool it, here comes the fuzz".

Police officers would be armed with whip cream aerosol cans--the idea being to embarrass malefactors rather than do serious harm.

At the final strike rally last December, the thousands of students gathered in Sproul Hall Plaza joined in singing "We All Live In A Yellow Submarine" and students could be heard singing the song all over the campus for a week or more afterwards.

Reporters from the establishment press were so disconcerted at not being able to decipher the significance of the song that they just didn't report it at all.

The Los Angeles Superior Court Appellate Department recently upheld a Pasadena Municipal Court's decision that the state anti-loitering law, Section 647-e, Penal Code, is unconstitutional.

Chester W. Weger, 46, Pasadena, was walking on Cartwright Street, Pasadena, at 2:30 a.m., June 12, when officers in a police car hailed him. Officers said he refused to identify himself, saying that as a citizen he had a right not to disclose anything to them.

Weger won dismissal of the charge August 30. The City of Pasadena appealed.

The opinion said the law creates an impossible situation. If a person answers, he might be arrested for giving an incriminating answer. If he does not talk, he is merely being arrested for exercising a Constitutional right to remain silent, the opinion said.

Judge Hufstедler said the statute "makes silence or articulated refusal to answer questions an element of the crime." She added:

"Loitering or wandering, standing alone, is not a crime, nor does loitering or wandering, standing alone, constitute reasonable cause to believe that the crime stated by Section 647-e is being committed in the officer's presence." The 1961 statute says:

"Every person is guilty of disorderly conduct, a misdemeanor, who loiters or wanders upon the streets or from place to place without apparent reason or business and who refuses to identify himself to account for his presence when requested by any peace officers to do so, if the surrounding circumstances are such as to indicate to a reasonable man that the public safety demands such identification."

Various Los Angeles City and County officials were questioned about the decision. Sheriff Pitchess stated: "This appears to me to be an exaggeration of the so-called rights of the individual at the expense of society." A profound remark reminiscent of those probably uttered by King John at Runnymede and by those opposed to the initial amending of the United States Constitution in 1791.

Eds., Teaspoon:

A few words to the wise is sufficient.

How many days may a publication be left on the campus after its first appearance?

Don't kid yourselves that all Teaspoons were picked up the first day by curious or interested and sympathetic students and faculty or anyone else for that matter and that next week you must increase your circulation.

First of all, a new publication takes time to become acquainted with its environment. Secondly, not all students pass by the distribution spots and not all students have a MWF routine.

These things you know already. What you don't know is that Wednesday night, while making a phone call (about 11 p.m.), I saw quite a good number of Teaspoons go head long into the trash as the clean-up crew made its rounds. Your time, energy and money should not be thrown away.

This particular sporty scene occurred in the breezeway of the SS Building where the bank of telephone booths rest.

To those who accuse social protesters of "gloom and despair": Who are the real gloom-peddlers? We have an "Up with People" show (I say down with "Up with People" who are really down on people) and others like Petula Clark calling us pessimists and negative. Who is really being negative?

Petula Clark is a very popular singer, British but with some very smartly ifred on music, money and morals. She says she can't see protest songs or sitting around worrying about bombs because the world is really a lovely, sunny place.

In fact she sings out her philosophy in her latest song, shouting that she doesn't let any "gloom clouds surround her" and "everything I touch turns to gold".

No kidding; she really sings that.

Those who surround themselves with their piles of possessions that they are convinced they earned, not realizing that slaves lived and died to make their riches, they are content, like dogs with bones, to shut out the painful reality of every Cong, Jig, Jap, Coon, Chink, etc. who goes to bed hungry at night (if he escapes our torture).

About gloom: Who is perpetuating the gloom of the millions who are trapped--in Rhodesia, in Selma, in Watts and in Hoboken, New Jersey?

Who is hiding their heads like ostriches to the extremely high probability of nuclear destruction of the world?

Who brings us closer to that worst kind of gloom there is--no world, than the militaristic, materialistic morons who ding of their riches? They are the true gloom-spreaders. Stop them from spreading their gloom to end all glooms.

UNDERGROUND PRESS**A NEW BREED

Good Morning Teaspoon is a junior member of a newly evolved and growing species of newspapers--the American underground press.

Most of them are located near college campuses, however the larger ones serve subcultures in large metropolitan areas. They do not compete with other media in the area, but do come under considerable attack from that source.

Teaspoon does not consider itself in competition with the Aztec, but the pattern of attack seems to hold true on all levels.

The underground press is generally anti-Establishment, not too wild about police (that's reciprocated), fairly enthusiastic about drugs, but not the drug scene, or maybe vice-versa, it's not too clear sometimes, down on the war in Vietnam, big on political activism and the hippie revolution and the possibility those factions may yet get together.

In other words, the underground press chronicles what's happening and where it's at with the younger generation.

The budgets are shoestring and the journalism is free-wheeling--that's to say it's a hell of a lot more fun (yes, Virginia, there's a serious side, too.) than the San Diego Union.

Here's a partial list of which underground newspapers are available in San Diego and where:

Mithras, La Jolla next to the Unicorn Theatre-LAPP, Berkeley Barb, East Village Other (New York), Oracle (San Francisco).

Controversial Bookstore, 3627 30th (3 blks So. of Univ.) all of the above and then some maybe.